



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO | - | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------|----------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/875,401 | | 06/05/2001 | Mark W. Miles | 005652.P001 | 7748 |
| 20995 | 7590 | 08/30/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| | | NS OLSON & B | NGUYEN, JENNIFER T | | |
| 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| IRVINE, (| CA 92614 | 1 | 2674 | . - . | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 08/30/2005 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/875,401 | MILES, MARK W. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Jennifer T. Nguyen | 2674 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on RCE | ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on RCE filed on 6/30/05. | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | nce except for formal matters, pro | secution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-9 is/are pending in the appli | ication. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-9</u> is/are rejected. | i)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-9</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce | epted or b) objected to by the E | xaminer. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| Address of the second of | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🗍 I-tandani Surana | (DTO 442) | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Ll Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da | te | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/27/05. | 5) Notice of Informal Pa | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/875,401

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office action is responsive to request for continued examination filed on 6/30/05.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-3 and 5-9 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,680,792 in view of Pub. No. US 2002/0186209 (Cok).

| Present application 09/875,401 (Miles), claim | Patent No. US 6,680,792 (Miles), claim 1 |
|--|--|
| 1 | |
| A touch screen display comprising a touch | A modulator of light |
| screen | |
| A pressure tolerant display including a | A plurality of modulation elements |
| plurality of interferometric modulator element | |
| The touch screen is directly coupled to the | |
| display | |

Application/Control Number: 09/875,401

Art Unit: 2674

| A substrate having a first and second surface | A substrate |
|---|---|
| At least one metallic membrane forming an | A plurality of modulation elements formed on |
| interferometric cavity with the film stack, | the substrate each modulation element defining |
| wherein the distance between the metallic | an interference cavity for causing interference |
| membrane and the film stack determines the | modulation of the light to produce a color |
| color produced by each of the interferometric | condition |
| modulator elements | |

Claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,680,792 differs from claim 1 of the present invention in that it does not specifically disclose a touch screen is directly coupled to the display. However, Cok teaches a touch screen (10) is directly coupled to the display (49) [0029]-[0031]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the touch screen as taught by Cok in the system of 6,680,792 (Miles) in order to provide a thin, light weight device and enables a user to operate a device simply by touching various locations on the touch screen.

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 5-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7696. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri: 9:00am-5:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/875,401

Art Unit: 2674

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick N. Edouard can be reached on 571-272-7603. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer Nguyen 08/21/05

REGINA LIANG
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4